# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

- Hospital Nacional Docente Materno Infantil San
- a. Obstetrician-Gynecologist, Head of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Associate Professor, San Fernando Faculty of Medicine. Universidad Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru. ORCID 0000-0003-0709-
- b. Medicina Integral y Gestión en Salud. ORCID 0009-0009-1770-6541
- Obstetrician-Gynecologist, Chief of the Office of Quality Management. ORCID 0009-0003-4116-3387
- OB-GYN Physician, Chief of Maternal-Fetal Service. ORCID 0009-0002-6031-2287
- e. OB-GYN Physician, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology. ORCID 0000-0002-7057-2069
- OB-GYN Physician, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology. ORCID 0000-0002-4207-8802
- Gynecology-Obstetrics Resident Physician. ORCID 0000-0001-8739-0491

**Source of financing:** Study carried out with the authors' own

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no

Use of artificial intelligence: It has not been used for any of the stages of the article.

Received: 21 July 2024

Accepted: 5 September 2024

Online publication: 3 December 2024

Corresponding author:

Juan Orestes Ramírez Cabrera Av. Alfonso Ugarte 825, Lima 1, Perú

- 999-519246
- ijramirezcc@hotmail.com

Cite as: Ramírez Cabrera J, Valdivia E, Elizabeth Rojas Lara, David Cornejo Falcón, Betsy Micol Zapata Díaz, Edy Vera Loyola, Darwin Ayala Céspedes. Is a safety checklist for vaginal delivery necessary? Rev peru ginecol obstet. 2024;70(4). Doi: https://doi.org/10.31403/rpgo.

# Is a safety checklist necessary for vaginal birth?

# ¿Es necesaria una lista de verificación de seguridad para el parto vaginal?

Juan Orestes Ramírez Cabrera<sup>1,a</sup>, Edson Valdivia<sup>1,b</sup>, Elizabeth Rojas Lara<sup>1,c</sup>, David Cornejo Falcón<sup>1,d</sup>, Betsy Micol Zapata Díaz<sup>1,e</sup>, Edy Vera Loyola<sup>1,f</sup>, Darwin Ayala Céspedes<sup>1,g</sup>

DOI: https://doi.org/10.31403/rpgo.v70i2689

#### **ABSTRACT**

Introduction: Vaginal to delivery care is a complex, multidisciplinary process that requires institutions that provide safe and efficient surveillance of pregnant women. In obstetric practice, the physician frequently makes critical decisions in a short period of time and adverse events may occur. Within the framework of the WHO World Alliance for Patient Safety whose aim is to reduce risks during the delivery process, the use of safety checklists has been promoted as a strategy to reduce maternal and neonatal death. Objective: To elaborate a Vaginal Birth Safety Checklist (VBSC) to be applied to all pregnant women admitted to San Bartolomé hospital for her delivery care. Methodology: To design a correct sequence of procedures and useful actions to improve the safety of the pregnant woman during her stay, including the periods of dilatation, expulsion and immediate puerperium, using a questionnaire addressed to the different members of the health team involved in the care of both the mother and the newborn. Some questions to the patient are also included. Results: Systematized care is achieved, communication between the care team and the patient is improved, decision-making is favored, risks are identified, and delivery care is standardized. Conclusion: The VBSC is a valuable tool for optimizing safety and quality in vaginal delivery care, the success of which will depend on how it is integrated into clinical practice.

Key words: Checklist, Parturition, Natural childbirth, Patient safety

Introducción. La atención del parto vaginal es un proceso complejo, multidisciplinario, que requiere de instituciones que brinden una vigilancia segura y eficiente a las gestantes. En la práctica obstétrica, frecuentemente el médico toma decisiones críticas en un breve tiempo pudiendo originarse eventos adversos. En el marco de la Alianza Mundial de la Seguridad del Paciente de la OMS, cuyo fin es la reducción de riesgos durante el proceso de atención del parto, se ha fomentado el uso de listas de verificación de seguridad, como una estrategia para disminuir la muerte materna y neonatal. Objetivo. Elaborar una Lista de Verificación de la Seguridad del Parto Vaginal (LVSPV), para ser aplicada a toda gestante que ingresa al hospital San Bartolomé para su atención de parto. Metodología. Diseñar una secuencia correcta de procedimientos y acciones útiles para mejorar la seguridad de la gestante durante su estadía comprendiendo los periodos de dilatación, expulsivo y puerperio inmediato, utilizando un cuestionario dirigido a los diferentes miembros del equipo de salud que participan en el cuidado tanto de la madre como del recién nacido. Se incluyen también algunas preguntas a la paciente. Resultado. Se logra una atención sistematizada, se mejora la comunicación entre el equipo de atención y la paciente, se favorece la toma de decisiones, la identificación de riesgos y se estandariza la atención del parto. Conclusión. La LVSPV es una herramienta valiosa para optimizar la seguridad y la calidad en la atención del parto vaginal, cuyo éxito dependerá de cómo se integre en la práctica clínica.

Palabras clave. Lista de verificación, Parto normal, Seguridad del paciente

### INTRODUCTION

Reducing maternal mortality is a public health priority in the world. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) about 350,000 maternal deaths occur annually, mostly in developing countries. Most of these deaths are considered to be preventable with timely evidence-based interventions(1). Given that maternal morbidity and mortality can occur unpredictably, any initiative to improve the quality of care is important to reduce it(2).

Childbirth, being a physiological process, has become more complex. There are various approaches to the humanization of childbirth or 're-



spected childbirth', understood as vaginal birth with a reduction in medical interventions to achieve a natural childbirth. It includes personalized clinical care, incorporates the mother and her companion, proposes avoiding unnecessary medical interventions and encourages continuous training of the health team<sup>(3)</sup>.

Vaginal delivery is a complex event that merits multidisciplinary management and requires healthcare institutions that can care for patients efficiently and safely. The hospital environment is not simple. It serves a population that is vulnerable due to its condition as a pregnant woman, susceptible to adverse events when undergoing medical procedures -a vaginal examination- or surgical procedures -an episiotomy-, the use of technologies such as cardiotocographic monitoring and the administration of medications<sup>(4)</sup>.

It is estimated that in developed countries one out of every ten patients suffers some harm during their hospital stay as a result of various errors or adverse effects<sup>(5)</sup>. Eleven percent of people admitted to a health care institution will have an adverse event and, of this group, 40% occur in pregnant women during delivery(6). Adverse events due to medical care represent an important source of morbidity and mortality in the world<sup>(7)</sup>. Given the need to establish safe, efficient procedures of the highest possible quality, areas outside medicine have been shown to be effective in reducing and preventing incidents. One example is the safety checklist (SC), a tool that emerged in the aeronautical industry(8) and which makes it easier to remember the correct sequence of steps in a complex procedure.

Within the WHO patient safety program, the use of the SC was encouraged, initially focused on safe surgery, and since 2008 an SC has been introduced for each patient undergoing a surgical procedure<sup>(9)</sup>. Since then, the applications of these lists have succeeded in reducing complications and mortality resulting from surgery<sup>(10)</sup>.

With the positive experience of SCs applied in safe surgery, its use is proposed as a strategy to reduce maternal and neonatal death. The application of a SC is very useful due to the complexity that accompanies delivery care. Despite the fact that the main causes of maternal mortality are known, that most of them occur on the first day of puerperium, that international guidelines for best obstetric practices already exist, that some clinical interventions are relatively inexpensive, cost-efficient and easy to perform, in daily hospital practice they can be difficult to remember and execute in the appropriate sequence, an aspect that could be solved by using a safety checklist(11,12).

### **JUSTIFICATION**

The main reason for hospitalization at Hospital Docente Materno Infantil San Bartolomé, in Lima, Peru, is childbirth. At the time of discharge, most of patients have undergone a perineal incision (episiotomy), a perineal tear, a surgical procedure (cesarean section) or other invasive interventions such as bladder catheterization, lumbar puncture for labor analgesia, among others. This intervention is associated with probable risks and complications.

On the other hand, obstetrics is the only specialty that cares for and has responsibility for two patients -the mother and the fetus- who, for the most part, are not assumed to be ill when they come to the hospital for the birth. It is routine for the physician to have to make critical decisions in a short period of time that can lead to an unintended adverse event(13), an event that occurs during health care, resulting in harm to the patient.

Delivery care is a complicated process with risk for both the mother and the newborn. It requires coordinated and comprehensive surveillance to ensure its safety(11). In modern obstetrics, checklists have become a fundamental tool to ensure safe and quality care during childbirth<sup>(14)</sup>. They provide a set of useful procedures and actions to improve patient safety by ensuring that the necessary steps (good clinical practices) are performed in a timely manner, preventing adverse events and significantly reducing the risks associated with labor and delivery care.

The benefits of implementing an SC are multiple: 1. It allows for more systematized and organized care, which reduces the possibility of errors and complications; 2. It improves the report between the care team and the patient, which ensures more personalized and effective care; 3. It facilitates decision making and the identification of



possible complications, allowing for more timely and effective care; and, 4. It standardizes care by ensuring that the health team follows the same safety protocols and provides the same level of quality care.

However, it is also important to consider the possible risks associated with the application of SC: 1. It may become a list of routine tasks that generate mechanical care rather than personalized and effective care; 2. It may become a substitute for clinical experience and judgment, which may limit the health team's ability to adapt to unforeseen situations; 3. It may take additional time and become an extra burden for the health team; and, 4. It may be perceived as a tedious task if it is not properly integrated into clinical practice, losing effectiveness(15).

## **M**ETHODOLOGY

Following WHO recommendations, a Vaginal Birth Safety Checklist (VBSC) was developed with the participation of the different professionals of Hospital San Bartolomé involved in the care of vaginal delivery: obstetrician-gynecologists, neonatologists, obstetricians and nurses, with the advice of physicians specialized in hospital management from the quality management office of our hospital.

The objective of this work was to develop a vaginal delivery safety checklist to be applied to all pregnant women admitted to the San Bartolomé hospital for delivery care. Several multidisciplinary work meetings were held, based on WHO recommendations and guidelines(1) and the adaptation and validation of the safe delivery checklist for use in Colombia<sup>(12)</sup>. Unlike these two experiences, which include the entire delivery process from the patient's admission to the institution until discharge, our working group considered limiting the SC to only include labor and the immediate puerperium, since the highest incidence of adverse events reported in pregnant women in our hospital occurs during their stay in the obstetric center. Likewise, we excluded patients who, having started vaginal labor, decided to have a cesarean section during the course of labor, interrupting their vaginal labor. The VBSC will be mandatory at Hospital San Bartolomé for all patients during the vaginal delivery process (Figures 1 and 2).

### RESULTS

In the VBSC, 3 key moments were identified during the progression of labor. The first moment is when the patient enters the dilation area of the obstetric center, the second moment occurs prior to transfer to the delivery area of the obstetric center at the end of the dilation period, and the third moment is at the end of the immediate puerperium, before transfer of the patient to the hospitalization area (Figure 1).

During the application of the VBSC, each item is identified as a question addressed to one of the different members of the health team involved in the care of the pregnant woman and the newborn: obstetrician-gynecologist, neonatologist, obstetrician and nurse.

At the end of the first stage (dilatation) and the second stage (delivery) there is a question: does the patient proceed to cesarean section? If the answer is yes, the application of the VBSC is interrupted. There are also questions to the patient herself, such as: Have you had any vaginal examinations since your admission, specify how many? In addition, some important points are verified in the clinical history, for example: Does the patient have informed consent for vaginal delivery with signature and fingerprint?

It was agreed that the obstetrics graduate was the ideal professional to record the VBSC form, due to her uninterrupted permanence in the obstetric center, completing the required information at each point in time.

Before its final approval, a field test was carried out for a month, suggestions were gathered from the participants and the final version of the VBSC was achieved. Throughout the process of developing the VBSC, the progress was disseminated to all health team professionals involved in vaginal childbirth care, and finally the final version was presented.

The VBSC record is collected in duplicate, with one copy remaining in the clinical history and the other being referred in a timely manner to the hospital's quality management office for processing, analysis and recommendations to be implemented by the Obstetrics and Gynecology Department.



#### FIGURE 1: VACINAL DELIVERY CHECKLIST (PART ONE)

Name:							Date:		_ Time:			
MRN:												
FIDST MANAGENT, DATIENT ENTEDS THE DILATION ABEA	i i	V DO V NOT				ASK BA	ASK BACHELOR OF OBSTETRICS	TETRICS				
TINST MICHIENT: PATIENT ENTERS THE		ION ANEX				Are the	essential supp	lies for childbirth a	Are the essential supplies for childbirth assessments available?	e?		
VERIFY IN THE MEDICAL RECORD  1. Does the patient have informed consent for vaginal delivery with and fingerprint?	sent fo	or vaginal delivery with sign	signature Y	Yes	No	17.	Confirm availab protocol.	oility of supplies and	Confirm availability of supplies and compliance with handwashing protocol.	andwashing	Yes 🗆	No
VERIFY IN THE MEDICAL RECORD  2. Does she have gynecoid	Yes 🗆	Yes □ No □, specify:	_			18.	Confirm the ava	ailability and use of	Confirm the availability and use of sterile gloves for each vaginal examination.	ich vaginal	∏ Xes □	No
pelvimetry study performed by physician assistant?						19.	Confirm availat	Confirm availability of oxygen source	eo.		Yes 🗆	No
ASK THE PATIENT		Yes □, spec	specify how many:	ny:		ASK BA	ASK BACHELOR OF OBSTETRICS	TETRICS				
3. Has she had vaginal examinations since her admission?	ince he	0 0				20. Is t of the p	20. Is the presence of an of the pregnant woman?	an authorized comp n?	20. Is the presence of an authorized companion allowed during the delivery of the pregnant woman?	ng the delivery	Yes 🗆	No
						ASK TH <b>21. Pat</b>	ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIS  21. Patient goes to Cesarean section?	ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIST  21. Patient goes to Cesarean section?			□ sə <sub>k</sub>	No
VEDIEV IN THE MEDICAL BECORD	207	coorify bow many:										
4. Do you have a relevant pathological history?	No	Non										
VERIFY IN THE MEDICAL RECORD 5.Do you have previous cesarean	Yes	Yes □, specify how many: No□							ı			[
sections?							Bachek	Bachelor of Obstetrics		OBSTE	OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIST	
VERIFY IN THE MEDICAL RECORD  Does the patient have current	9.	Glucose	>	Yes	No	SECON	D MOMENT: PR	IOR TO THE TRANS	SECOND MOMENT: PRIOR TO THE TRANSFER TO THE AREA FOR THE EXPULSION STAGE OF LABOR?	OR THE EXPULSION	N STAGE OF	LABOR?
auxiliary tests (less than 60 days	7.	Hemogram	>	Yes	No	ASK BA	ASK BACHELOR OF OBSTETRICS	TETRICS	Yes			
: (010)	%	Hemoglobin/ hematocrit	>	Yes	No	antibio	<ol> <li>was a sensitivity test antibiotic prophylaxis?</li> </ol>	L. Was a sensitivity test performed for antibiotic prophylaxis?	No □, specify:			
	9.	Blood type	>	Yes	No	ASK TH	E OBSTETRICIAN	ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIST		No		
	10.	Time of clotting-bleeding	Υ	Yes	□ oN	7. Doe	tne parturient	<ol> <li>Does the parturient have uterine atony fisk factors?</li> </ol>	risk ractors:	res ⊔, speciiy now many:	ow many:	
	11.	NIV	>	Yes	No	□ Mult	□ Multiparous	□ ≥ 40 years		☐ Multiple pregnancy	ncy	
	12.	VDRL	>	Yes	No	□ Use (	□ Use of oxytocin	□ Dysfunctional labor	abor	□ Chorioamnionitis	S	
ASK THE PATIENT						□ UH > 35 cm	35 cm	□ FW > 4000 g		□ Other:		
<ol> <li>Is the mother allergic to any medicines or chemicals?</li> </ol>	Yes 🗆	Yes □, specify how many: No □				ASK TH	E OBSTETRICIAN she have risk f	ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIST 3. Does she have risk factors for shoulder dystocia?	dystocia?	No □ Yes □, specify how many:	ow many:	
VERIFY IN THE MEDICAL RECORD  14. Does the mother require antibiotics?	SS?		>	Yes	□ oN	□ Diab	□ Diabetes mellitus	□ Obesity	ssity	□ Dysfu	□ Dysfunctional labo	'n
VERIFY IN THE MEDICAL RECORD	3	50409110	>	Yes	No	□ FW > 4 kg	4 kg	□ Hisi	□ History of shoulder dystocia	tocia		
VERIEY IN THE MEDICAL RECORD	ll dg	estant sunate:	>	Yes	ON	- Post-	□ Post-term pregnancy					
16.Does the mother require the use of antihypertensives?	fantihy	/pertensives?	-	3								



FIGURE 2: VAGINAL CHILDBIRTH CHECKLIST (PART 2)

4. Was an abnormal partogram found?  4. Was an abnormal partogram found?  ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN  6. Is the birth premature?  ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN  6. Is the birth premature?  ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN  GONMUNICATE TO NEONA  Yes indicate gestational a gynecologist  (COMMUNICATE TO NEONA  Yes indicate gestational a gynecologist  (COMMUNICATE TO NEONA  Yes (COMMUNICATE TO NEONA  ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN  ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN  Yes (COMMUNICATE TO NEONA  YES _						
rrain perception  Training to acute  rraining to acute				ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIST		
ETRICIAN T rspicion of acute ETRICIAN premature? T premature? T T T T T				1. Was a directed delivery with oxytocin performed?	Yes	No
T rispicion of acute ETRICIAN T premature? ETRICIAN T T T	ate ror:			2. Was delayed cord clamping performed?	Yes	No
ETRICIAN T premature? ETRICIAN T T	(COMIMUNICATE TO NEONATOLOGY) No □	(A50:		3. Was the umbilical cord traction maneuver performed during delivery?	Yes 🗆	□ oN
remature? TRICIAN icfluid clear?	Yes □, indicate gestational age: _			4. Is the uterus contracted?	Yes 🗆	No
TRICIAN tic fluid clear?	(COMMUNICATE TO NEONATOLOGY)	.ogy)		5. Was uterine massage performed?	Yes 🗆	□ oN
tic fluid clear?				6. Was skin-to-skin contact performed?	Yes	No
				7. Does the mother require antibiotics?	Yes	No□
	CATE TO NEONATO	ATOLOGY)		8. Is the mother's bleeding more than 1000 mL?	Yes	
ASK BACHELOR OF 8. Sterile gloves		Yes□	□ No □		)	
9.	Antiseptics for hand washing and patient preparation according to institutional protocol	atient Itional Yes	0 N	9. If the bleeding was more than 1000 mL, was the Red Alert activated?	Yes   No   Not Apply	
the mother available?  10. Uterotonics, preferably oxytodin	eferably oxytocin	Yes	□ OO □	10. ASK THE PATIENT Did you start breastfeeding and skin-to-skin contact in the first hour? (If both mother and newborn are in good health))		
11. Oxygen source		Ves□	□ ON	Yes□		
ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIST  12. If necessary, is there an assistant to care for the mother during the expulsive period?	the mother Yes	ON.	Not applicable	No □, specify the reason		
ASK THE NURSING  13. Clean towel		Yes	No	ASK THE PATIENT  11. Mention 3 warning signs in the puerperium (bleeding with clots, dizziness, cold sweating,	old sweating,	
Are essential supplies 14. Sterile scissors to cut the cord	o cut the cord	Yes□	□ No □	permanent abdominal pain, severe headache, visual or hearing problems, epigastric pain)	stric pain)	
available for the Rubber ligation, plastic clip or st newborn care? 15. umbilical tapes	, plastic clip or sterile	Yes	No	No		
16. Heat source		Yes□	□ No □	Yes		
17. Suction device		Yes□	□ No □	1		
18. Auto Inflatable/Resuscitator Bag Piece/Wask	Resuscitator Bag with T-	h T- Yes□	No	3		
19. Source of oxygen/ Compressed	n/ Compressed air	Yes□	□ No □			
ASK THE DOCTOR FOR IMMEDIATE CARE OF NEWLY BORN 20. If necessary, is an assistant available for the immediate	′ BORN immediate Yes□	No	Not applicable □	Observations		
ASK THE OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIST  21. Patient goes to cesarean section?		Yes	□ No □			
BACHELOR OF OBSTETRICS OBSTE	OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIST	Ne	Neonatology	BACHELOR OF OBSTETRICS GYNEC GYNEC	OBSTETRICIAN GYNECOLOGIST	



In this way, systematized care is achieved, the report improves communication between the care team and the patient, decision making is favored, risks of probable complications are identified, and delivery care is standardized.

## CONCLUSION

We consider the VBSC to be a valuable tool for optimizing safety and quality in vaginal delivery care, the success of which will depend on how it is integrated into clinical practice.

#### REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2020: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and UNDESA/Population Division [Internet]. https:// www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240068759
- Leyton Valencia IB. Paquetes de atención en obstetricia. Rev peru ginecol obstet. 2019;65(1):57-62. doi: 10.31403/rpgo. v65i2153
- Lavanderos S, Díaz-Castillo C. Recomendaciones basadas en 3. la evidencia para alcanzar un parto respetado. Rev Chil Obstet Ginecol. 2021;86(6):563-72. doi: 10.24875/rechog.m21000034
- Salazar Marzal E. El problema de la seguridad de la atención. Rev peru ginecol obstet. 2019;65(1):31-5. doi: 10.31403/rpgo. v65i2149
- Vera E. Atención del parto centrada en el paciente. Rev peru ginecol obstet. 2019;65(1):51-6. doi: 10.31403/rpgo.v65i2152
- IBEAS Study. Prevalencia de efectos adversos en hospitales de Latinoamérica. Informes, Estudios e Investigación 2010. Ministerio de Sanidad y Política Social. https://www.seguridaddelpaciente.es/resources/contenidos/castellano/2009IN-FORME IBEAS.pdf
- Jha AK, Larizgoitia I, Audera-Lopez C, Prasopa-Plaizier N, Waters H, Bates DW. The global burden of unsafe medical care: analytic modelling of observational studies. BMJ Qual Saf. 2013 Oct;22(10):809-15. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2012-001748

- Fernández Elorriaga M. Adaptación, implementación y evaluación de la efectividad de la "lista de verificación de parto seguro" en cuatro hospitales de México [Internet]. 2018. Digitum: Repositorio Institucional de la Universidad de Murcia. http:// hdl.handle.net/10201/59640
- Praxedes AO, Arrais L, Araújo MAA, Silva EMMD, Gama ZADS, Freitas MR. Avaliação da adesão à Lista de Verificação de Segurança no Parto em uma maternidade pública no Nordeste do Brasil [Assessment of adherence to the Safe Childbirth Checklist in a public maternity hospital in Northeast Brazil]. Cad Saude Publica. 2017 Nov 6;33(10):e00034516. Portuguese. doi: 10.1590/0102-311X00034516
- Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, Lipsitz SR, Breizat AH, Dellinger EP, et al. Safe Surgery Saves Lives Study Group. A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. N Engl J Med. 2009 Jan 29;360(5):491-9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsa0810119
- 11. World Health Organization. Lista OMS de verificación de la seguridad del parto, guía de aplicación: mejorar la calidad de la atención prestada a la madre y al recién nacido en instituciones sanitarias [Internet]. 2015. https://apps.who.int/iris/ handle/10665/207480
- 12. Amaya-Arias AG, Idarraga D, Eslava-Schmalbach JH. Adaptation and validation for Colombia of the WHO safe childbirth checklist 2018. Colombia Méd. September 2018;49(3):201-12. doi: 10.25100/cm.v49i3.2710
- 13. Santivañez Pimentel AC. La notificación y análisis de los eventos adversos: experiencia en el HONADOMANI San Bartolomé. Rev peru ginecol obstet. 2019;65(1):45-50. doi: 10.31403/rpgo.
- 14. Amaya-Arias AC, Cortés ML, Franco D, Mojica JD, Hernández S, Eslava-Schmalbach JE. Safe behaviours and acceptance of the use of checklists in urban obstetric units in Colombia. Rev Colomb Anestesiol. 2017;45(1):22-30. doi: 10.1016/j. rca.2016.10.009
- 15. Concha-Torre A, Díaz Alonso Y, Álvarez Blanco S, Vivanco Allende A, Mayordomo-Colunga J, Fernández Barrio B. Las listas de verificación: ¿una ayuda o una molestia? [The checklists: A help or a hassle?]. An Pediatr (Engl Ed). 2020 Aug;93(2):135.e1-135. e10. Spanish. doi: 10.1016/j.anpedi.2020.05.006