Ectopic pregnancy in a previous cesarean scar
Gestación ectópica sobre cicatriz de cesárea
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ABSTRACT
Ectopic pregnancies in the scar of previous cesarean section are an infrequent pathology. They represent the rarest form of ectopic gestation and occur in 1 in 2,000 pregnancies. In recent decades there has been an increase in its incidence related to the increase in the number of cesarean sections. Its inconsistent clinical presentation may delay its diagnosis, so it requires a high degree of suspicion for its identification. The diagnosis is mainly made with transvaginal ultrasound, by finding of the gestational sac in the hysterotomy scar. The scarcity of cases reported in the literature makes it impossible to standardize correct treatment. The insufficient information available together with the potential serious complications make this pathology a challenge for every specialist. We present a case of ectopic pregnancy in the scar of a previous cesarean section which was diagnosed ultrasonographically and resolved with medical treatment with methotrexate. The importance of follow-up, both clinical and with ultrasound and βhCG levels until complete resolution, is evident.
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INTRODUCTION
Cesarean scar pregnancy (CSP) is a rare pathology that can lead to serious complications. Its anodyne clinical presentation together with the difficulty of its identification may delay its diagnosis. Currently, there is no standardized management protocol, so its finding remains a great challenge for every specialist. We present a case of CSP managed by multiple doses of methotrexate (MTX) together with a review of the published literature.

Case report
A 30-year-old woman attended the gynecology emergency department of our hospital due to vaginal bleeding and a positive home pregnancy test. When taking anamnesis, she had no personal or family history of interest. As gynecological-obstetric history, she had a previous pregnancy that ended by cesarean section due to breech presentation, in June 2020, with a normal puerperium. She said her last menstrual period had been 4 weeks and 3 days earlier.
The patient was hemodynamically stable and the general examination was normal. The gynecological examination showed a closed endocervical canal, scarce hematic debris in the vagina and absence of active bleeding. Transvaginal ultrasound was performed with the finding of 12 mm decidualized endometrium and absence of intra or extrauterine gestational sac. Beta-humana chorionic gonadotropin hormone (βhCG) was requested and the result was 207 IU/L. The patient was reevaluated in 48 hours with no clinical or ultrasound change, but βhCG increased to 409 IU/L.

On the 6th day, the βhCG was 2,097 IU/L. A new ultrasound study was performed with visualization of the cervical canal and uterine cavity without contents and at the level of the scar of the previous cesarean section an image compatible with a gestational sac of 24 x 18 mm, without the presence of yolk vesicle or embryonic pole inside (Figure 1). The Doppler mode showed a positive color map (Figure 2). The adnexa were normal and no free fluid was seen.

Given the high suspicion of pregnancy in a previous cesarean scar, treatment with medical management was indicated. A single dose of intramuscular methotrexate was administered at a dose of 50 mg/m² body surface area, corresponding to 95 mg, with correct tolerance. Between the fourth and seventh post-treatment day, the patient showed a decrease in βhCG of more than 15% (17.7%). However, given the persistence of the ultrasound image, it was decided to administer a second dose of intramuscular MTX 95 mg. In the subsequent controls, the patient was asymptomatic and the βhCG values showed a progressive decrease, becoming negative ten weeks after the administration of the second dose of MTX. In addition, the control ultrasound showed the involution of the gestational sac over the cesarean scar (Figure 3).

Discussion

Ectopic pregnancy in a previous cesarean scar is rare⁴,⁵ and is defined as the implantation of the gestational sac in the scar zone of a previous hysterotomy. It occurs in approximately 1 in every 2,000 pregnancies⁴ and represents 0.4%⁵ and up to 6% of ectopic gestations in a patient...
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The pathophysiology is not fully understood. It is believed that after an invasive procedure on the uterine cavity (cesarean section, uterine curettage or entry into the cavity after myomectomy) there would be an injury to the myometrium and subsequent poor healing could allow incorrect implantation of the embryo. Thus, the gestational sac would be surrounded by myometrium and scar tissue and separated to a greater or lesser extent from the endometrial cavity.

It could also be due to the creation of fistulas between the endometrial cavity and the scar, which would lead to incorrect implantation. However, as the initial trophoblast behaves mainly in an invasive manner, a pre-existing tract should not be necessary. Another possible cause could be hypoxia during implantation and subsequent placental development. In vitro work has shown that different degrees of hypoxia cause cytotrophoblast cells to proliferate or invade, thus regulating the growth and architecture of the placenta. The importance of hypoxia during placentation has led to theorize that scar areas, having abundant fibrotic tissue, will have more hypoxia which would lead to abnormalities in placentation, such as placenta accreta or placenta previa, or incorrect implantation of the embryo on the scar. The combination of both theories would explain the increased incidence of these pathologies in patients with previous cesarean section.

At present, two types of ectopic gestations on a cesarean scar have been identified that could constitute a continuous pathology. In type I, implantation occurs on a properly healed scar and the gestation grows into the uterine cavity. And in type II, implantation occurs on a dehiscent scar or with a niche, and trophoblastic infiltration can occur towards the uterine serosa, with risk of uterine rupture and intense hemorrhage. In our patient, we consider that an ectopic gestation occurred on a previous type I scar.

Diagnosis is usually at an early gestational age, around 7 weeks. The main reason for consultation is usually vaginal bleeding or, more rarely, abdominal pain, although some authors have reported a diagnosis rate of CSP in asymptomatic patients that varies between 37-45%. On the other hand, this pathology can also present as an emergency - the patient presents with hemorrhage or uterine rupture that can lead to major complications such as heavy bleeding, hemoperitoneum and shock and requires immediate treatment. Therefore, the high suspicion of this pathology is very important for early diagnosis and management to avoid serious complications. In our case the patient presented with vaginal bleeding without pelvic pain and a positive home pregnancy test.

The initial assessment of this pathology can be complicated, with cervical ectopic gestation and ongoing abortion being differential diagnoses. The main form of exploration has been by transvaginal ultrasound. The definition of clear diagnostic criteria is important for its early identification and, classically, they have been defined by Timor-Tristch et al. The presence of embryonic button or cardiac activity facilitates the diagnosis, but its absence does not exclude it. The diagnostic criteria can be summarized as follows:
1. Visualization of empty uterine cavity and endocervical canal.

2. Finding of placenta and/or gestational sac in the hysterotomy scar.

3. Thin myometrial plane between the gestational sac and the bladder (1-3 mm) or absent.

4. Enhanced Doppler pattern around or in the area of the cesarean scar.

In addition, in early cases between 5-10 weeks, there may be a high degree of confusion between cases of CSP and viable low implantation intrauterine gestation. For differential diagnosis, Timor-Tritsch et al. suggest making a line that covers the total hysterometry in a sagittal section (from the uterine fundus to the cervix) and dividing this line in half. If the gestational sac remains between this midpoint and the fundus, it would be a low implantation gestation, and if it remains between the midpoint and the cervix, it would be suggestive of CSP or in rare cases a cervical ectopic[11] (Figure 4).

The serious complications that can occur with a late diagnosis explain the high degree of suspicion that we should have with this pathology. In case of doubts after an ultrasound study, we should extend it by requesting an MRI[1].

The publications on the management of CSP are summaries of a series of few cases, making it difficult to create standardized management protocols[14]. Furthermore, we must remember that this pathology, even if we initiate correct treatment, presents a very high rate of complications (around 44%)[15] which can be serious, requiring hysterectomy, laparotomy or embolization of the uterine arteries. Among the treatment options for CSP are expectant management, medical or surgical[1,11,13,14]. Treatment must be individualized, assessing the maternal condition for its hemodynamic stability, the size of the sac and the presence or not of the embryo, the presence of cardiac activity, gestational age, degree of myometrial invasion, the patient’s desire for pregnancy and the capacity and experience of each team[13].

The high rate of complications in the face of expectant management (risk of uterine rupture and severe hemorrhage) with potential maternal mortality does not justify expectant management, regardless of the type[14]. Classically, medical treatment with methotrexate (50 mg/m² body surface area) has been reserved for cases of CSP with an βhCG value below 5,000 mIU/mL[1-3]. However, the risk of complications in the face of surgical management has encouraged clinical protocols to advise its use despite higher βhCG levels[2,9]. The success rate of MTX therapy may be lower compared to surgical treatments and will sometimes require an additional invasive procedure[11,14]. To avoid this, some authors suggest the use of multiple dose MTX therapy, considering it a safe and effective management[2,16]. Other authors recommend intrasaccular injection of ultrasound-guided MTX, expecting slow resolution of ectopic gestation with βhCG monitoring up to 140 days after the initial MTX injection. Other doctors recommend intrasaccular injection of potassium chloride (5 mg) into the gestational sac when cardiac activity is present[11].

Surgical treatment has a success rate of 96%[1,14,17]. It is the definitive treatment option and provides the possibility of repairing the uterine defect. There are different surgical access options - laparotomy, laparoscopy or hysteroscopy - which will depend on the experience of the surgical team[11,14]. Some authors advise performing an ultrasound-guided aspiration curettage in CSP type 1 and a myometrial thickness between the gestational sac and the bladder greater than 2 mm[3]. Evacuative curettage is not recommended due to the high failure rate of the technique and the high rate of uterine rupture and associated massive bleeding[3].

In the case of our patient, given our limited experience in these types of cases, we opted for management with medical treatment, given the high possibility of complications with the surgical approach. After the administration of systemic MTX, a punctual increase in the βhCG value was observed, followed by a progressive decrease and involution of the gestational sac. The patient tolerated the treatment correctly without any complications. Although the follow-up of ectopic resolution and βhCG decrease took 82 days, the option of medical treatment with multiple doses of MTX appears to be a safe and effective treatment.
Ectopic pregnancy in a previous cesarean scar is a rare pathology, but with potentially serious maternal risks. Its high degree of suspicion together with well-defined diagnostic criteria may allow its early diagnosis and management. The few cases reported in the literature do not allow establishing a standardized treatment and this must be individualized by assessing the maternal condition for its hemodynamic stability, the size of the sac and the presence or not of the embryo, as well as cardiac activity, gestational age, degree of myometrial invasion, the patient’s desire for pregnancy and the capacity for action and experience of each team.
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